



Maintaining Analyser Validation

Richard Haggas
Blood Transfusion Quality Manager
Leeds Teaching Hospitals



Remit

- Background
- Validation / Qualification
- Revalidation
- Summary



Background

- SI 2005/50 – Blood Safety and Quality Regulations 2005
- EudraLex - Volume 4 Good manufacturing practice (GMP) Guidelines – Annex 15 Qualification and Validation

Annex 15 Validation and Qualification

- Facilities, systems and equipment to be used should have been qualified and analytical testing methods should be validated
- Facilities, systems, equipment and processes should be periodically evaluated to verify that they are still operating in a valid manner.





Change control

- All changes that may affect product quality or reproducibility...should be evaluated, including risk analysis.
- The intent is to determine the need for action that would ensure and document that the system is maintained in a validated state.

When do we validate (qualify) analysers?

- When the risks are high
 - When first installed
 - Upgrade / change to software
 - Upgrade / change to hardware
 - Upgrade / change to interfaces
- Use test cases (samples) designed to ensure that the system / procedure is performing as it should; at its limits
 - Test grouping and screening results
 - Transfer of data across the interfaces





"Everything was fine until he pressed 'upload'."

What about revalidation at other times?

- Regular revalidation after an appropriate timescale?
 - Yearly?
 - Every two years?
- Do we need to go through the whole validation testing process again?
- What about after servicing or repair?

Revalidation / requalification

- What does the guideline say about revalidation?
 - The need for, and the extent of, requalification and re-validation should be determined
- What does this mean?
 - You must re-perform validation testing regularly?
 - Facilities, systems, equipment...should be **periodically evaluated** to confirm that they remain valid

Revalidation

- Where no significant changes have been made to the validated status, a review with evidence that facilities, systems, equipment and processes meet the prescribed requirements fulfils the need for revalidation



After servicing or repair?

- Is this a significant change?
- What are the risks?
- Is running a set of grouping controls and weak antibody controls sufficient?
 - Probably yes
 - Depends on what the servicing or repair involves

What evidence is there?

- Maintenance logs
- Daily control results – group and antibody screens
 - Have there been any failures?
- Testing previously grouped patients
 - How many previously grouped and screened patient do you test?
 - How many incidents of the analyser incorrectly determining the group and screen results have you seen?
- Engineer servicing / calibration records
- Using all the above may be sufficient to demonstrate that the analyser continues to work in a validated state
- Document your assessment



Summary

- A risk assessment approach should be used to determine the scope and extent of validation
- Revalidation is about producing evidence that the process is still valid, this may involve retesting, but it doesn't have to.
- For further information
 - EudraLex - Volume 4 Good manufacturing practice (GMP) Guidelines
 - Annex 15 – Qualification and validation
 - Part III - GMP related documents – Quality Risk Management (ICH Q9)